

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/085,526   | 02/26/2002   | Helmut Heide         | 930008-2066             | 1405            |
| 75   | 90 09/15/2005  |                      | EXAM                    | INER            |
| JULIE H. RICHARDSON<br>MYERS BIGEL, SIBLEY & SAJOVEC |  | GROUP, KARL E        |                         |                 |
| P.O. BOX 3742  | The state of the s |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| DALLAS, TX 27627                                     |  | RECEIVED             | 1755 ·                  |                 |
|  |  | OIPE/IAP             | DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005 |                 |
|  |  | SEP 2 9 2005         |                         |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.        | Applicant(s)                      |  |  |  |
|---|---|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/085,526             | HEIDE ET AL.                      |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner               | Art Unit                          |  |  |  |
|   | -   | Karl E. Group          | 1755                              |  |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app  |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 1)  🛛   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au   | igust 2005.            |                                   |  |  |  |
| ·   | -   | action is non-final.   |                                   |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                       |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims  |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 39-46</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| •   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 5)□   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | )⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 39-46</u> is/are rejected.  |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 8)  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.  |                                   |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 10)   | D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.                         |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.   |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
|   |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
| Attachment  | (s)   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary ( |                                   |  |  |  |
|   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da    | te<br>atent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |
|   | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:              | Atom Application (FTO-102)        |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office  |   |                        |                                   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/085,526

Art Unit: 1755

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 41-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 41 support for the macropores interconnecting the micropores cannot be found. Furthermore claim 45 support for the macropores extending in three dimensions cannot be found.

### **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-20,39-46 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-87 of

Application/Control Number: 10/085,526

Art Unit: 1755

'n

copending Application No. 10/930965. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of reasons of record.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

A terminal disclaimer is necessary in the instant application to obviate the double patenting rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E. Group whose telephone number is 571-272-1368. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,526

Art Unit: 1755

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl E Grout / Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

Keg 9-13-05

## **NEW CENTRAL FAX NUMBER**

Effective July 15, 2005

On <u>July 15, 2005</u>, the Central FAX Number will change to **571-273-8300**. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number. To give customers time to adjust to the new Central FAX Number, faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005.

After September 15, 2005, the old number will no longer be in service and 571-273-8300 will be the only facsimile number recognized for "centralized delivery".

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

\_ Bldg./Room.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Organization \_

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

P.O. Box 1450

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

NED FROM ZIPCODE 22314 204205065

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

1 (1) 別は父はア NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED UNABLE TO FORWARD

¥0417-10208-18-08 Halan Handhallan dhalan da dhallan dhallan dhall 80: 22818145050

のの後年報車をもあるがいいべ